

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Not for Publication

	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	
	*	
v.	*	Damages decision based on stipulation;
	*	tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (Tdap)
	*	vaccine; thrombocytopenia purpura
SECRETARY OF HEALTH	*	
AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
	*	

Elizabeth M. Muldowney, Richmond, VA, for petitioner.
Heather L. Pearlman, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

On _____ the parties filed the attached stipulation in which they agreed to settle this case and described the settlement terms. Petitioner alleges that the tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine she received on _____ caused her to develop thrombocytopenia purpura or significantly aggravated a pre-existing condition. Petitioner further alleges that she suffered the residual effects of this injury for more than six months. Respondent denies that the Tdap vaccine caused petitioner’s thrombocytopenia purpura

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master’s action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’s website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to delete such information prior to the document’s disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall delete such material from public access.

or any other injury, and further denies that her current disabilities are sequelae of this injury. Nonetheless, the parties agreed to resolve this matter informally.

The undersigned finds the terms of the stipulation to be reasonable. The court hereby adopts the parties' said stipulation, attached hereto, and awards compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. Pursuant to the stipulation, the court awards:

- a. a lump sum of **\$31,511.30**, representing compensation for satisfaction of Medicaid lien. The award shall be in the form of a check in the amount of **\$31,511.30** payable jointly to petitioner and

Petitioner agrees to endorse this payment to the _____ ; and

- b. a lump sum of **\$150,000.00**, representing reimbursement for all remaining damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a) (2006). The award shall be in the form of a check in the amount of **\$150,000.00** payable to petitioner, _____ .

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Laura D. Millman
Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.