In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

No.

Filed:

Unpublished

	*	
, as parents and legal representatives	*	
of their minor son,	*	
	*	Stipulation; Varicella vaccination;
Petitioners,	*	Shingles, recurrent shingles, post-
	*	herpetic neuralgia, scarring
v.	*	
	*	
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT	*	
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

<u>Ramon Rodriguez, III</u>, Rawls & McNelis, P.C., Richmond, VA., for Petitioner. <u>Traci R. Patton</u>, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

<u>DECISION</u>¹

GOLKIEWICZ, Special Master.

On , the parties to the above-captioned case filed a Stipulation memorializing their agreement as to the appropriate amount of compensation in this case. Petitioners allege that their son suffered shingles as a consequence of the varicella vaccination he received on . Petitioners further allege that their son developed recurrent shingles, post-herpetic neuralgia, and scarring as sequelae of his injury, and that he experienced residual effects of this injury for more than six months. Respondent denies that the varicella vaccine caused petitioners' son's recurrent shingles, post-herpetic neuralgia and scarring. Nonetheless, the parties agreed informally to resolve this matter. Stipulation, filed

The court hereby <u>ADOPTS</u> the parties' said Stipulation, attached hereto, and awards compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. Specifically, petitioners are

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the website for the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. <u>Id. Any motion for redaction must be filed by no later than fourteen (14) days after filing date of this filing.</u> Further, consistent with the statutory requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision, order, ruling, etc.

awarded a lump sum of \$103,750.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioners. See Stipulation, \P 8.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.²

<u>s/ Gary J. Golkiewicz</u> Gary J. Golkiewicz Special Master

 $^{^{2}}$ This document constitutes a final "decision" in this case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(3)(A). Unless a motion for review of this decision is filed within 30 days, the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in accord with this decision. Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties can expedite entry of judgment by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review by a United States Court of Federal Claims judge.